

# Grant Proposal Evaluation Sheet Region A RHSOC

Date: 1/19/2010

**Funding Justification:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Applicant Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Name of Funding Proposal:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Please check this box if you are withdrawing yourself from voting do to a conflict of interest.** Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Score the question based on the points range indicated for each one.

Is this project eligible for funding under any of the seven eligible funding justifications? _____ <b>Yes</b> _____ <b>No</b> If the answer is "No", do not continue to score this project.	<b>Possible Points</b>	
Does this project meet State/Federal Requirements? (Is equipment on the AEL? Is training DHS approved? _____ <b>Yes</b> _____ <b>No</b> If the answer is "No", do not continue to score this project.		
Does this project have a multi-jurisdictional/multi-discipline impact?	0-3	
Does this project build response/preparedness capacity for the entire region?	0-2	
Does this proposal result in a duplication of services or duplicate existing resources in the region? ( <u>The higher the degree of duplication, the lower the score.</u> )	0-2	
If the asset is sharable/loanable—is the applicant willing to share the asset with other entities in the region? If the nature of the asset makes it difficult or impossible to share (i.e. permanent installation) give it the maximum points to avoid penalizing the project.	0-3	
Technical Feasibility: Is the project feasible? Is it well-thought out? Is there a plan for implementation? Have all costs been identified including costs for distributing, maintaining or storing assets? <u>If this is an interoperability proposal</u> , has the applicant sufficiently explained its current capabilities and how this proposal will improve interoperability in the jurisdiction & region? Has the applicant provided their plan and timeframe to adapt to the FCC narrowband mandate?	0-5	
Managerial Capability: Does the applicant have the ability to successfully implement the proposal and follow through with reporting requirements. Does the applicant have the ability to coordinate the project with multiple partner agencies where applicable.	0-5	
BONUS POINTS: Evaluators may assign additional points to projects that they feel are more innovative, generally attractive, applicable. For instance, does this proposal benefit more than one funding justification?	0-5	
	<b>Total Score</b> 25	